

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/909,715	COX, BRIAN J.	
	Examiner Darwin P. Erezo	Art Unit 3773	
All Participants: (1) <u>Darwin P. Erezo</u> . (2) <u>Shane Swanson</u> .	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
Date of Interview: <u>26 March 2009</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 81			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Claim 81 is to be amended as provided in the Examiner's Amendment to overcome a 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. The withdrawn claims are also to be cancelled.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
<hr/> /Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773 <div style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</div>			